



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/502,260	0/502,260 07/21/2004		John J Strzempko	TRW(FAS)5993-1P	7491
26294	7590	08/03/2006		EXAMINER	
		EIM, COVELL &	SMITH, KIMBERLY S		
	1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700 CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3644	

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/502,260	STRZEMPKO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kimberly S. Smith	3644			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHI - Exte afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute r reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status						
·	,—	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 2-5,7,8,10-21 and 23-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 17-19 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16,20,21 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-5,7,8,10-15 and 24-26 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	tion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmer	nt(s)					
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/502,260 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 06/05/06 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patent 6,588,373 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.

- a. The person who signed the terminal disclaimer is not recognized as an officer of the assignee, and he/she has not been established as being authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. See MPEP § 324.
- 2. An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).
- 3. It is also noted that the Terminal Disclaimer form submitted is for a reexamination application which is improper for the instant application.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned

Application/Control Number: 10/502,260

Art Unit: 3644

with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Page 3

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claim 16, 20, 21 and 23 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6, 1 and 17, respectively of U.S. Patent No. 6,588,373. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because, as per claim 16 the patented claim is directed to the aligning pin extending upwardly from a cover piece and an aperture located in the closure piece whereas the application claims the aligning pin being located in the closure piece with the aperture located in the cover. This is considered to be a mere rearrangement of parts of an invention which has been held to be obvious to one having only routine skill in the art. With respect to claims 20 and 21, the patented claim 1 does not include the limitation regarding a rim defining the open top of the container, however it is obvious that an open container will have a rim as a rim is defined to be an outer edge or border which is required to have an open container. Further, the patented claim does not positively state that the cover is adapted to snap onto the rim of the container. However, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform (which is evident in the patent as viewed in Figure 4). With respect to claim 23, the patented claim does not include the limitation that the second mating protrusion extends outwardly beyond the outer periphery of the structure. However, it is considered obvious that a protrusion extending outwardly in a second direction for engaging a second corresponding protrusion would extend beyond the outer periphery of the structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/502,260 Page 4

Art Unit: 3644

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 17-19 are allowed.

7. Claims 2-5, 7, 8, 10-15, 24-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly S. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6909. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 10:00-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/502,260 Page 5

Art Unit: 3644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kimberly S Smith Examiner Art Unit 3644

kss

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER